

At the Chaarat Gold Holdings Limited Annual General Meeting held on Wednesday, 20 May 2020 a poll was taken on all resolutions put to the meeting. All resolutions were passed by the members entitled to vote. The results of the poll incorporating proxy votes lodged in advance of the meeting are set out below:

Nº	Resolution	<u>Type</u>	<u>For</u>		<u>Against</u>		<u>Withheld</u>
			<u>Nº of votes</u>	%age	<u>Nº of votes</u>	%age	<u>Nº of votes</u>
1.	Approval of 2019 annual report	Ordinary	239,913,651	99.96%	90,750	0.04%	0
2.	Re-election of Robert Benbow as a director	Ordinary	239,913,651	99.96%	90,750	0.04%	0
3.	Re-election of Gordon Wylie as a director	Ordinary	224,440,651	93.52%	15,563,750	6.48%	0
4.	Re-appointment of BDL LLP as auditor	Ordinary	240,004,401	100%	0	0.00%	0
5.	Remuneration of auditor	Ordinary	240,004,401	100%	0	0.00%	0

Notes:

- 1. Total ordinary shares in issue at the date of the meeting were 524,562,210.
- 2. The poll results represent approximately 45.75% of the total number of ordinary shares in issue which carry voting rights of one vote per share.
- 3. Any proxy appointments giving discretion to "the Chairman of the meeting" have been included in the "For" totals above.
- 4. "Withheld" is not a vote in law and therefore is excluded from the calculation of the proportion of the votes for or against a resolution.

The full text of the resolutions can be found in the notice of annual general meeting which is available for inspection at the National Storage Mechanism https://data.fca.org.uk/#/nsm/nationalstoragemechanism and on the Company's website https://www.chaarat.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/Notice-of-2020-AGM.pdf.

One shareholder question was submitted to the Annual General Meeting as follows:

Question	Answer
Please provide some context or clarification regarding	The reason that the security trustee had not granted its consent as at 31 December 2019 was
the statement in note 2 to the accounts (page 63) in	because there were technical deficiencies in a minority of the noteholders' consents. Those
relation to the Çiftay agreement that "the security	technical deficiencies were subsequently resolved, and the security trustee granted its
trustee had not, as at 31 December 2019, granted its	consent on 25 February 2020. Notwithstanding this, the Çiftay agreement is still not effective
consent and therefore the Çiftay agreement is not legally	as not all the conditions necessary for completion have occurred. It is expected that they will
binding on the Group. Payments incurred by Çiftay on	do so in relatively short order. In the meantime, payments incurred by Çiftay on behalf of the
behalf of the Group are recognised as liabilities."	Group continue to be recognised as normal trade payables and accruals.